SHERIDAN NEIMARK ROCER L. BROWDY

ANNE M. KORNBAU

NORMAN J. LATKER RONNI S. JILLIONS

AOI NAWASHIRO

OF COUNSEL

DIANA MICHELLE SOBO

Anne M. Kornbau

### BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

PATENT AND TRADEMARK CAUSES

SUITE 300

624 NINTH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20001-5303

TELEPHONE (202)-628-5197

ALVIN BROWDY (1917-1998)

PATENT AGENT ALLEN C. YUN, PH.D.

TELECOPIER FACSIMILE (202) 737-3528 (202) 393-1012

B-MAIL mail@browdyneimark.com

> RECEIVED X CENTER

IVER P. COOPER JAY M. FINKELSTEIN	TELEFAX CONTROL SHEET	RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENT
SENT TO:	Shengiun Wang	MAR 1 2 2004
• •	Application No. 09/936,922	<u> </u>
DATE SENT:	March 12, 2004	
SUBJECT:	SHINITZKY=5	
No. of pages	(including this cover sheet): 6	
FROM:	Anne M. Kornbau	
Remarks:		
Examiner Wan	g,	
	the amendment filed on February 18, 2004 earing from you.	, I look
Sincerely,		

### CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE

This confidential facsimile message is intended only for the individual entity named above, and may contain information that is privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you, the reader of this message, are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you should not copy this facsimile or distribute it to anyone other than the intended recipient. In addition, if you have received this talaccopy in error, please immediately notify us by telephone or telefax and return the original message to us at the address above via the United States Postal Service. Finally, if it would not inconvenience you, we would appreciate it if you would first relax this message to the intended recipient. Thank you.

If this transmission is not well raceived, please advise us at our telecopier 202-737-3528 or by e-mail at mail@browdyneimark.com, or call our voice

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of: Metr SHINITZKY

Art Unit: 1617

Application No.: 09/936,922

Confirmation No.: 3023 Examiner: Shengjun Wang

Filed: October 31, 2001

Washington, D.C.

FOR PHARMACEUTICAL COMPOSITIONS COMPRISING...

Atty.'s Docket SHINITZKY=5

OR

OR

Date: February 18, 2004

Customer Window, Mail Stop AE
THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Adlington, VA 22202

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is a [XX] REPLY: REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION in the above-identified application.

- [ ] Small entity status of this application under 37 CFR 1.9 and 1.27 has been established by a verified statement previously submitted
- [ ] A verified statement to establish small entity status under 37 CFR 1.9 and 1.27 is enclosed.
- [XX] No additional fee is required.

The fee has been calculated as shown below:

	(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	_	HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA EQUALS
TOTAL		MINUS	• <b>*</b> 20	٥
INDEP.		MINUS	• 3	0
	ESENTATION OF I		DEP. CLAIM	

	SMALL ENTITY	
].	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
]	х 9	\$
	x43	8
	+ 145	\$
ADDITIO	NAL FEE TOTAL	\$

OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY		
	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
х	18	\$
x	86	\$
+	290	\$
	TOTAL	\$

- If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3.
- \*\* If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, write "20" in this space.
- "" If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is loss than 3, write "3" in this space.

The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (total or independent) is the highest number found from the equivalent box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment of the number of claims originally filed.

[XXQ Conditional Petition for Extension of Time

If any extension of time for a response is required, applicant requests that this be considered a petition therefor.

[ ] It is hereby petitioned for an extension of time in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a). The appropriate fee required by 37 CFR 1.17 is calculated as shown below:

	Small Entity	Other Than Small Entity	
	Response Filed Within	Response Filed Within	
	[ ] First - \$ 55.00 [ ] Second - \$ 210.00 [ ] Third - \$ 475.00 [ ] Fourth - \$ 740.00 Month After Time Period Set	[ ] First - \$ 110.00 [ ] Second - \$ 420.00 [ ] Yhird - \$ 950.00 [ ] Fourth - \$1,480.00 Month After Time Period Set	
[]	[ ] Less fees (\$) already paid for month(s) extension of time or Please charge my Deposit Account No. 02-4035 in the amount of \$ Credit Card Payment Form, PTO-2038, is attached, authorizing payment in the		
r 1	A check in the amount of \$ is attached (check no. ).		
[XX]			

BROWDY AND NEIMARK

Altomeys for Applica

By:

Bherkon Nelmark Registration No. 20,520

Facsimile: (202) 737-3526 Telephone: (202) 628-5197

# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 1 2 2004

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTY.'S DOCKET: SHINITZKY=5

OFFICIAL

In re Application of:	) Art Unit: 1617
Meir SHINITZKY	) Examiner: Shengjun Wang
Appln. No.: 09/936,922	) Washington, D.C.
Date Filed: October 31, 2001	Confirmation No. 3023
For: PHARMACEUTICAL	) February 18, 2004

#### REPLY: REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 2011 South Clark Place Customer Window, Mail Stop AF Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1803 Honorable Commissioner for Patents Arlington, Virginia 22202

Sir:

The Final Office Action of November 19, 2003, has been carefully reviewed. The claims in the application remain as claims 1-8, 17-26 and 37, and these claims define patentable subject matter warranting their allowance.

Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests favorable consideration and allowance, especially based on the interview of February 12, 2004.

Applicant wishes to thank Examiner Wang for the courtesies extended during the personal interview of February 12, 2004, attended by Mrs. Anne Kornbau, one of applicant's

Appln. No. 09/936,922

Amd. dated February 18, 2004

Reply to Final Office Action of November 19, 2003

attorneys. Agreement as to the allowability of applicant's claims was reached during such interview, as indicated in the PTO "Interview Summary".

The previously nonelected claims 7 and 21-24 should now be rejoined and allowed, along with the other claims. Such is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-6, 8, 17-20, 25-26 and 37 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chun et al in view of Piazza et al and Kobayashi et al. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Submitted herewith are copies of the following articles, which were discussed during the February 12 interview:

Kugel et al., Journal of the American Chemical Society 89:16, 1967;

Shinitzky et al., European Journal of Biochemistry 267:2547-2554, 2000.

As shown in the Kugel et al article, the fivemembered rings are very prone to hydrolysis, while the sixmembered rings are much less prone to hydrolysis. The rate of hydrolysis of the five-membered ring is 1000 times faster than hydrolysis of its corresponding open phosphodiester. The Appln. No. 09/936,922 Amd. dated February 18, 2004 Reply to Final Office Action of November 19, 2003

hydrolysis of the six-membered ring is at about the rate of hydrolysis for the open phosphodiester.

The Shinitzky et al article show that the sixmembered ring has a biological activity ten times higher than that of the five-membered ring.

Based upon the above differences between the fivemembered ring and the six-membered ring, it is respectfully submitted that the six, seven, and eight membered rings, are unexpectedly different from the five-membered rings disclosed in the applied prior art.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the claims are now in condition for allowance, and favorable action thereon is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.

Attorneys for Applicant

Neimakk sheridan

Registration No. 20,520

SN:jaa

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197

Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528

G:\BN\C\cohn\ShinitzkyS\pto\Rcply 18Fe04.doc

<sup>1</sup> For the record, applicant also submits that the six, seven and eight membered ring compounds are structurally (i.e. prima facie) non-obvious from the tive-membered ring compounds.

## CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Maiko S. Price

Name

Signature

March 12, 2004

Date